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Abstract
This paper tests the robustness of analytical results on performance measures for a production-inventory system with an unreliable production facility. A basic model is considered which involves a single production machine with constant production rate which operates as long as the accumulated inventory is below a pre-set threshold, and is idle otherwise. The demand process follows a compound Poisson distribution and the operating time of a machine until failure as well as the repair time of a failed machine are assumed to be exponentially distributed. A demand that cannot be fully satisfied from existing inventory takes whatever is available and the rest of the demand is lost. Analytical results exist only for the special case where the order sizes are exponentially distributed. In this paper, a simulation model is built to obtain performance measures for the same production-inventory system facing other demand processes. These results are used to test the robustness of the analytical results to changes in the demand process.

I. Introduction
Production-inventory models link the production process to the demand process to ensure that shortages are kept at a low level and no excessive inventory is built up. The models vary in terms of the characteristics of the production process, the demand pattern, the customer response to shortages and the inventory review procedures.

In this paper, the production system is considered to be imperfect: machines can fail resulting in disrupted production plans, reduced inventory and shortages. Posner and Berg (1989) derived an explicit closed form solution for the steady state distribution of the inventory level and used this result to compute system performance indices of interest related to service level to customers and machine utilization. They consider a basic model which involves only one production machine with constant production rate which operates as long as the accumulated inventory is below a pre-set threshold, and is idle otherwise. The demand process is compound Poisson and the operating time of a machine until failure as well as the repair time of a failed machine are assumed to be exponentially distributed. A demand that cannot be fully satisfied from existing inventory takes whatever is available and the rest of the demand is lost.

When dealing with slow moving products or products recently introduced to the market, there is not sufficient data to decide on the functional form of the demand distribution function and it is realistic to assume the demand distribution is incompletely known. Limited but not full information might exist, like the range of the demand, the expected value and its variance. We assume the same mean and standard deviation for demand can be obtained by various patterns regarding demand frequency and size.

Posner and Berg (1989) assume a compound Poisson demand process with exponentially distributed order sizes. We test the robustness of their results to changes in the demand process. However, these changes will be chosen in such a way that they do not result in a different mean or standard deviation of the demand distribution. Unfortunately no closed-form formulas exist when the order size does not follow an exponential distribution. Therefore, simulation models have to be used to determine performance measures of these systems.

II. The production-inventory model
In this section, the production-inventory system that is used by Posner and Berg (1989) is discussed in more detail.

A. Definitions and assumptions
Following assumptions are made:
• Production is continuous. No individual parts can be identified.
• Production speed is, without loss of generality, assumed to be equal to 1. This means that the machine outputs 1 unit of product per time unit.
• Production is halted whenever the inventory level reaches $M$, and is resumed when the next order arrives.
• The operating time until failure is exponentially distributed. The average failure rate of the machine is the
inverse of the mean time to failure (MTTF).

\[ \theta = \frac{1}{\text{MTTF}} \]

- The repair time of each machine is exponentially distributed. The average repair rate of the machine is the inverse of the mean time to repair (MTTR).

\[ \sigma = \frac{1}{\text{MTTR}} \]

- The demand process is compound Poisson. Orders arrive according to a Poisson process with rate \( \lambda \) and order sizes are i.i.d. random variates exponentially distributed with mean \( \mu^{-1} \). Demand that cannot be satisfied, is lost.

### B. Single machine model

Posner and Berg (1989) study a simple system of a single machine which outputs into an inventory. Using the generalized technique of the 'system point' in level-crossings analysis (Brill and Posner, 1977; Brill and Posner, 1981), Posner and Berg derive an analytical formula from which a number of performance measures can be calculated.

The availability of the machine (fraction of time the machine is engaged in active production), is equal to

\[ A = \alpha \left[ 1 - e^{-\beta_1 M} - \frac{r}{\beta_2} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta_2 M} \right) \right]. \]

The fraction of time the machine is down due to failures is equal to \( 1 - A - \gamma \). The machine shutdown rate due to overstocking is equal to \( \lambda \gamma = \alpha (1 - r) \). The demand rate is equal to \( \lambda \mu \). The production rate is 1, therefore the overall effective production rate is equal to \( A \). The fraction of loss due to shortages therefore is equal to \( \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - A \). The fraction of demand satisfied is equal to \( \frac{A}{\theta} \).

The average inventory is equal to

\[ \alpha \left[ \frac{\mu - \beta_1}{\lambda \beta_1^2} (1 - e^{-\beta_1 M}) - \frac{r (\mu - \beta_2)}{\lambda \beta_2^2} (1 - e^{-\beta_2 M}) \right] + M \delta. \]

The unknowns in these equations can be calculated from the following equations:

\[ \lambda \alpha^{-1} = \mu \left( 1 - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right) + (1 - \frac{\mu}{\beta_1}) e^{-\beta_1 M} \]

\[ r \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{\beta_1} \right) e^{-\beta_1 M} + \frac{\lambda}{\beta_2} (\mu - \lambda - \beta_1) \]

\[ \left[ (\mu - \beta_1)(\mu - \beta_2) \right] e^{-\mu M} \]

\[ + e^{-\beta_1 M} - \frac{e^{-\beta_2 M}}{\mu - \beta_1} \]

\[ \beta_1, \beta_2 = \mu - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \sigma} \]

\[ [\lambda + \sigma + \theta + \mu] \]

\[ \pm \sqrt{(\lambda + \sigma + \theta - \mu)^2 + 4 \theta \mu} \]

\[ r = \frac{\mu - \lambda - \beta_1}{\mu - \beta_1} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{\alpha (1 - r)}{\lambda} \]

\[ \delta = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma} \left[ \frac{\mu - \lambda - \beta_1}{\beta_2 - \mu} (e^{-\mu M} - e^{-\beta_1 M}) \right. \]

\[ \left. - r \frac{\mu - \lambda - \beta_2}{\beta_2 - \mu} (e^{-\mu M} - e^{-\beta_2 M}) \right] \]

### III. Simulation approach

Because no closed-form formulas exist when the order size does not follow an exponential distribution, simulation models have to be used to determine performance measures of these systems. However, simulation results can be difficult to interpret. Each simulation run leads to an estimate of the model’s characteristics and, as a result, these estimates can differ from the corresponding true characteristics of the model. Appropriate statistical techniques must be used to analyze and interpret the simulation experiments. Two main issues will be discussed: output data analysis and common random numbers.

### A. Output data analysis

As mentioned before, a single run of a simulation model can lead to serious errors and poor decisions. In order to obtain a point estimate and confidence interval for a simulation output, several runs of the simulation model using different random numbers are needed. Several methods exist to estimate the outputs confidence intervals. The method we use in this paper is the replication/deletion approach because it is a simple approach that gives good statistical performance (Law, 2007). It can easily be used to estimate several different parameters for the same simulation model and to compare different system configurations.

To validate the simulation model we built, the analytical results of Posner and Berg (1989) are compared to the results of the simulation model with exponentially distributed order sizes. A 95% confidence interval is constructed for the simulation output.

Suppose we make \( n \) replications of the simulation. The independence of replications is accomplished by using different random number series for each replication. Let \( Y_j \) be the \( j \)th replication (for \( j=1,2,...,n \)) of the measure of performance of interest. An unbiased point estimator for the mean \( \mu = E(Y) \) is given by

\[ \bar{Y}(n) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j}{n}, \]

and an approximate \( 100(1 - \alpha) \) percent confidence interval for \( \mu \) is given by

\[ \bar{Y}(n) \pm t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} \frac{S^2(n)}{n}, \]

where \( t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} \) is the upper \( 1 - \alpha/2 \) critical point for the \( t \) distribution with \( n-1 \) degrees of freedom and \( S^2(n) \) is the sample variance given by
we want to construct a confidence interval. Assuming \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) puts of two alternatives and define the generation of the operating time until failure and each alternative using the same random numbers for this is called the paired-

\[
S^2(n) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (Y_j - \overline{Y}(n))^2}{n - 1}.
\]

Next, simulation results are obtained for other demand patterns than the compound Poisson with exponentially distributed order sizes. We distinguish three different types of distributions for the order sizes: a left triangular distribution with mode equal to \( a \), a right triangular distribution with mode equal to \( b \) and a bimodal distribution (see Figure 1). The results are compared to the results of the simulation model with exponentially distributed order sizes using confidence intervals.

When comparing two alternative systems, a confidence interval can be constructed for the difference in the two expectations. This does not only results in confidence intervals.

To validate the model, we first calculate a confidence interval for each performance measure. These 95% confidence intervals are shown in Table III and are used to decide whether or not the differences between the system and the model are small enough to have a valid model.

To demonstrate that there is not only no significant difference between the results but also that the results are close to each other, we calculated the probability
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**Fig. 1. Bimodal distribution**
Based on these results, we can conclude that the simulation model is a good representation of the system and is therefore a valid simulation model.

V. Demand process

The objective of this paper is to test the robustness of the results of Posner and Berg to changes in the demand process. The demand process is compound Poisson and, with the parameters used in the previous section, has a mean of 0.9 units and a variance of 5.4.

In practice, information about the form of the probability distribution is often limited. For example, it might be that only the first two moments of the probability distribution are known. We assume the same mean and standard deviation for demand can be obtained by various patterns regarding demand frequency and size.

In this section, we relax the assumption that the probability distribution of the demand is completely known and merely assume that the first two moments are known and finite. Different order sizes are used in the simulation model but the parameters are chosen in such a way that they do not result in a different mean or standard deviation of the demand distribution.

When the mean $M$ and variance $V$ of the demand distribution are known, the compound Poisson distribution with exponentially-distributed order sizes has parameters:

$$\lambda = \frac{2M^2}{V}$$

and

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda}{M}.$$ 

Three other distributions for the order sizes, all in a range $[a, b]$, are used. When the order sizes follow a triangular distribution with mode $a$, the compound Poisson distribution has parameters:

$$\frac{M^2}{V} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{3M^2}{2V}$$

and

$$a = \frac{M - \sqrt{2\lambda V - 2M^2}}{\lambda}$$

$$b = \frac{M + 2\sqrt{2\lambda V - 2M^2}}{\lambda}.$$ 

When the order sizes are triangular with mode $b$, the compound Poisson distribution has parameters:

$$\frac{M^2}{V} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{9M^2}{8V}$$

and

$$a = \frac{M - 2\sqrt{2\lambda V - 2M^2}}{\lambda}$$

$$b = \frac{M + \sqrt{2\lambda V - 2M^2}}{\lambda}.$$ 

that the simulation model generates a value that is maximum 1, 3 or 5% error from the analytical result. These probabilities are given in Table IV. For each performance measure, there is at least a 75% probability that the analysis model generates a value that is in a range of 5% from the analytical result of Posner and Berg. For the availability, the fraction of time the machine is down due to failures or down due to overstocking, the probability is even above 99% which means the results of the simulation model are close to the analytical results.
When the order sizes follow a bimodal distribution with modes $a$ and $b$, the compound Poisson distribution has parameters:

\[ \frac{M^2}{V} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{3M^2}{2V} \]

and

\[ a = \frac{M - \sqrt{2\lambda V - 2M^2}}{\lambda} \]
\[ b = \frac{M + \sqrt{2\lambda V - 2M^2}}{\lambda} \].

When $M = 0.9$ and $V = 5.4$, the results for the parameters are given in Table V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exponential</th>
<th>Left triangular</th>
<th>Right triangular</th>
<th>Bimodal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE V: Parameters of the demand process

VI. RESULTS

The simulation model uses common random numbers for the generation of the operating time until failure and the repair time of a failed machine. This ensures that differences in the results are due only to a different demand process. For each different demand process, 20 replications of 3600 time-units (with a warm-up period of 720 time-units) are made. The means of the simulation results for each of the performance measures are given in Table VI.

To test the robustness of the analytical results of Posner and Berg to changes in the demand process, 95% confidence intervals are constructed for every performance measure. The confidence intervals for comparing the triangular distribution with mode $a$ to the exponential distribution can be found in Table VII. The confidence intervals for the difference between the results of the simulation model with a triangular distribution with mode $b$ and the results of the model with an exponential distribution are given in Table VIII. Table IX shows the confidence intervals for comparing the bimodal distribution to the exponential distribution.

All the confidence intervals in Table VII, Table VIII and Table IX contain 0. This means that the difference between the results of the simulation model with an exponentially-distributed order size and the results of the simulation model with a different distribution for the order sizes is not significantly different from zero for each of the performance measures. Changes in the demand process have no significant impact on performance measures for the production-inventory system described in this paper. The model of Posner and Berg is a robust model. The analytical results obtained by the model give a good indication for the performance measures of a similar production-inventory system facing another demand process.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH

In this paper, we test the robustness of analytical results on performance measures for a production-inventory system with an unreliable production facility. These results are calculated for the special case that the demand process is compound Poisson with exponentially-distributed order sizes. A simulation model is used to obtain the same performance measures for this production-inventory system facing another demand pattern. Three different demand patterns are compared: compound Poisson with order sizes that follow a triangular distribution with mode $a$, a triangular distribution with mode $b$ or a bimodal distribution. The parameters of these demand patterns are chosen so that they do not cause a change of the mean and variance of the aggregate demand distribution.

The performance measures for these three different demand patterns do not differ significantly from the closed-form performance measures obtained by Posner and Berg for the special case of an exponentially-distributed order size. Their results are therefore robust to changes in the demand pattern.

In further research, other distributions for the order sizes can be used. The simulation model can also be used to determine the optimal value of the pre-set
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exponential</th>
<th>Left triangular</th>
<th>Right triangular</th>
<th>Bimodal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>0.7448</td>
<td>0.7448</td>
<td>0.7447</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of time</td>
<td>0.2549</td>
<td>0.2549</td>
<td>0.259523</td>
<td>0.2547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine shutdown</td>
<td>0.000333</td>
<td>0.000328</td>
<td>0.000401</td>
<td>0.000346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand rate</td>
<td>0.9029</td>
<td>0.9147</td>
<td>0.9164</td>
<td>0.9228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of demand</td>
<td>0.8259</td>
<td>0.8157</td>
<td>0.8138</td>
<td>0.8101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to overstocking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI: Results for the different demand processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LCL</th>
<th>UCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>-0.000896</td>
<td>0.000643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of time</td>
<td>-0.000207</td>
<td>0.000486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand rate</td>
<td>-0.0563</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of demand</td>
<td>-0.0113</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to overstocking</td>
<td>-0.00104</td>
<td>0.00102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IX: Confidence intervals for comparing exponential with bimodal results

threshold or the maximum inventory level. Furthermore, the simulation model can be extended to more machines in series, separated by finite buffers. Sørensen and Janssens (2003) calculate customer service levels and other performance measures as a function of the availabilities of the machines and the sizes of intermediate buffers for a model with $n$ machines in series, separated by finite buffers.
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