STEGANALYSIS OF PQ ALGORITHM BY MEANS OF NEURAL NETWORKS
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ABSTRACT
The paper deals with a steganalysis of PQ algorithm by means of neural networks. The paper continues with the research of steganalysis by means of neural networks and brings results for the other steganography tool and also simulation results for different settings of neural network.

INTRODUCTION
Our previous research on classification of stego and cover images by means of ANN was introduced in (Oplatkova 2008a, Oplatkova 2008b, Oplatkova 2009) where detection of 3 stego programmes were presented - OutGuess (www.outguess.org), Steghide (Hetzl 2008), CipherAWT with F5 algorithm (Fridrich 2002). The number of programmes for inserting stego content is increasing and then the research in the steganalysis of further tools is required. This paper introduces a detection of PQ algorithm (Fridrich 2004).

Steganalysis is connected with information security. Mainly in companies, information security is a very spoken problem nowadays. All employers have to pay attention to their employees if company secrets and know-how are not spread out of the company. One of the possibilities how to leak the information is to use a steganography (Cole 2003). Steganography (Cole 2003) and cryptography (Goldwasser 2001) are connected together more or less. Cryptography is strong in the usage of the key and the message is somehow coded. But if it is sent unsecure, attacker will notice it very soon and will try to break it. Therefore steganography helps with secure transfer of secret messages. It codes a message inside the picture or other multimedia files which can be sent e.g. via emails. If you see such a picture, normally you do not recognize that there is a secret message. And this is the point. Crackers will go through and will not give the attention to the message.

Therefore to have a detector of steganography content in the multimedia files is very important. To reveal a steganography content is called steganalysis, i.e. a detection of files with hidden information of without hidden information which was inserted by means of steganography.

The PQ algorithm differs from the previous used stego tools so that in the training set greyscale images are used instead of full coloured ones. The same mean for extraction of information was applied as in previous research – Huffman coding. It extracts 64 parameters with numerical values as artificial neural networks need numerical values in the input layer for their run.

Firstly, PQ algorithm is mentioned, next paragraph will continue with information about Huffman coding and after that artificial neural network used in simulations are described. Results and conclusion follow.

PQ ALGORITHM
Perturbed quantization (PQ) steganography (Fridrich 2004, Goldwasser 2001, Hetzl 2008) is a quite successful data hiding approach for which current steganalysis methods fail to work (http://aminet.net/package/util/crypt/jstegsrc). In other words, PQ does not leave any traces in the form that the current steganalysis methods can catch. However, linear dependency between image rows and/or columns in the
spatial domain is affected by PQ embedding due to random modifications on discrete cosine transform (DCT) coefficients’ parities during data hiding. In PQ steganography, the cover object is applied an information reducing operation that involves quantization such as loss compression, resizing, or A/D conversion before data embedding. The quantization is perturbed according to a random key for data embedding, therefore called “perturbed quantization.” PQ steganography, which uses JPEG compression for information reducing operation, is different from their DCT-based counterparts. Since message bits are encoded by changing DCT parities after quantization, the cover image can be thought of just as a recompressed input image. To achieve high embedding rates, recompression is realized by doubling the input quantization table with the assumption that recompression of cover JPEG images does not draw any suspicion because of its wide usage in digital photography. Since the original cover image is recompressed via embedding operation, its compressed version should be considered as “cover” instead of original image.

HUFFMAN CODING

Huffman coding was used to extract information from images as ANN needs numerical values for its run. Huffman coding was designed by David Huffman in 1952 [Cormen 2001]. This method takes symbols represented (e.g. by values of discrete cosine transformation as in our case, which is one of methods how to present information in pictures like colour, brightness etc.) and coded it into changeable length code so that according to statistics the shortest bit representation to symbols with the most often appearance. It has two very important properties – it is a code with minimal length and prefix code that means that it can be decoded uniquely. On the other hand, the disadvantage is that we must know appearance of each symbol a priori. But in the case of pictures we can work with estimation, which will be edited during the compression.

To demonstrate more how inserting of the hidden information works, following two pictures (Figure 1 a) and b) can be used which visualize the Huffman coding. Each bit word can stand as a brick in the wall. It is possible to get two same big walls but each one will be assembled from different bricks and brick sizes. These two walls have the same size but of different structure (different set of bricks, some bricks appear more often then others). By same analogy, differences in cover and stego files can be viewed. The aim is to compare the different bit word length and different sizes of bricks in the walls for cover and images affected by steganography.

Figure 1: Illustration of Huffman coding histogram – a) cover image, b) stego image.

As the main goal of steganography is not to attract attention, stego images appear as usual pictures taken by digital camera. But there are significant changes in the structure of stego images. These changes in JPEG structure are relevant and used in this case for correct training of artificial neural network.

NEURAL NETWORKS

Artificial neural networks are inspired in the biological neural nets and are used for complex and difficult tasks. As in the case of this research, the most often usage is classification of objects. ANN are capable of generalization and hence the classification is natural for them. Some other possibilities are in pattern recognition, control, filtering of signals and also data approximation and others.

Simulations were performed with feedforward net with supervision. ANN needs a training set of known solutions to be learned on them. Supervised ANN has to have input and also required output. ANN with unsupervised learning exist and there a capability of selforganization is applied. The neural network works so that suitable inputs in numbers have to be given on the input vector. These inputs are multiplied by weights which are adjusted during the training. In the neuron the sum of inputs multiplied by weights are transferred through mathematical function like sigmoid, linear, hyperbolic tangent etc. Therefore ANN can be used for data approximation (Hertz 1991, Freeman 1994).
These single neuron units (Figure 2) are connected to different structures to obtain ANN (e.g., Figure 3). These networks were designed for different tasks.

Figure 2: Neuron model, where TF (transfer function like sigmoid), \(x_1 - x_n\) (inputs to neural network), \(b\) – bias (usually equal to 1), \(w_1 - w_n\), \(w_b\) – weights, \(y\) – output.

Figure 3: ANN models with one hidden layer, where

\[
\sum \delta = TF[\sum (w_i x_i + b w_b)] \quad \text{and in this case} \quad \sum = TF[\sum (w_i x_i + b w_b)]
\]

where TF is sigmoid. The picture is taken from Neural Networks Toolbox for Mathematica environment (www.wolfram.com) since this tool was used during the simulations. Also, names are taken from this tool to avoid other speculations what it means.

Future simulations expect a usage of soft computing algorithms for optimization of suitable parameters or structure called evolutionary, e.g., Self-Organizing Migrating Algorithm (Zelinka, 2004), Differential Evolution (Price, 1999) or HC12 (Matousek, 2010). Also, a different kind of neural networks will be used, e.g., RBF nets with implementation of GAHC algorithm (modification of HC12) (Matousek, 2011) for design of structure and estimation of parameters.

RESULTS

During the simulations several cases have been tested – different settings for transfer functions in hidden neurons and output neuron and different number of hidden neurons.

The training set was prepared with following length of inserted messages: 5, 10, 15, 30, 75, 150, 300, 600 Bytes and used resolutions: original and changed to 800x600, 1024x768, 1280x1024, 1440x900, 1680x1050, 1920x1440, 2560x1600.

Some examples will follow:

**PQ algorithm, 1 hidden neuron, saturated linear in hidden and output neurons.**

Following (Figure 4) shows the training root mean square error (RMSE).

Figure 4: Example of training RMSE for 1 hidden neuron, saturated linear in hidden and output neurons

Following tables (Tab. 1-9) deals with resolutions without two highest because of the space, the results are similar as in other resolutions.

Table 1 - 9: Results for PQ algorithm, 1 hidden neuron, saturated linear in hidden and output neurons, different length of messages and 5 resolutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message 5 bytes</th>
<th>800 x 600</th>
<th>1024 x 768</th>
<th>1280 x 1024</th>
<th>1440 x 900</th>
<th>1680 x 1050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samples total</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>2076</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>2083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct classification</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missclassification</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate in %</td>
<td>97.79</td>
<td>96.63</td>
<td>94.22</td>
<td>95.91</td>
<td>97.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error rate in %</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message 10 bytes</th>
<th>800 x 600</th>
<th>1024 x 768</th>
<th>1280 x 1024</th>
<th>1440 x 900</th>
<th>1680 x 1050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samples total</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>2076</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>2083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct classification</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missclassification</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate in %</td>
<td>97.79</td>
<td>96.63</td>
<td>94.22</td>
<td>95.95</td>
<td>97.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error rate in %</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message 15 bytes</th>
<th>800 x 600</th>
<th>1024 x 768</th>
<th>1280 x 1024</th>
<th>1440 x 900</th>
<th>1680 x 1050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samples total</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>2076</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>2083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct classification</td>
<td>2038</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missclassification</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate in %</td>
<td>97.93</td>
<td>96.68</td>
<td>94.32</td>
<td>96.01</td>
<td>97.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error rate in %</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PQ algorithm, 13 hidden neurons, hyperbolic tangent in hidden neurons and saturated linear in output neuron.

Following (Figure 5) shows the training root mean square error (RMSE).

Figure 5: Example of training RMSE for 13 hidden neurons, hyperbolic tangent in hidden and saturated linear transfer function in output neuron.

Following tables (Tab. 10 – 18) deals with resolutions without two highest because of the space, the results are similar as in other resolutions.

Table 10 - 18: Results for PQ algorithm, 13 hidden neuron, hyperbolic tangent in hidden and saturated linear in output neuron, different length of messages and 5 resolutions.
### CONCLUSION

The paper deals with a steganalysis of PQ algorithm by means of artificial neural networks. The result section deals with 2 examples of simulation data from testing. The experiments were done for different settings of transfer functions – sigmoid, hyperbolic tangent, saturated linear in hidden and/or in output neuron. The number of hidden neurons was changed from 1 to 20. Testing simulations were carried out for 8 different resolutions and 9 length of inserted message. In some cases, even though RMSE was under 0.1, which should mean a good quality training, results during validation testing have high percentage of misclassification. One of examples can be the case of 15 hidden neurons with sigmoid transfer function and output function was set up to saturated linear. The overall misclassification was more than 10% which is not acceptable in the case of steganalysis. It is hard to say which settings is the best mean a good quality training, results during valida...
research will continue with 2 layers neural nets if it will not help in this case with more length of inserted message. In the previous research with one type of hidden message 2 layer nets were not successful. This might be a more complicated study case and therefore more complicated nets will be a good solution.
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